

Ensuring leadership continuity: An integrative review of succession planning, leadership development and organisational memory

 Chitamba Anos^{1*}

¹Faculty of Management Sciences Durban University of Technology, Durban, South Africa; anosc@dut.ac.za (C.A.).

Abstract: Leadership continuity is essential for maintaining organizational performance, strategic stability, and long-term competitiveness. Nevertheless, many organizations encounter challenges during leadership transitions, often due to inadequate succession planning, insufficient leadership development frameworks, and the diminishing of organizational memory. This conceptual paper examines the relationship between succession planning, leadership development, and organizational memory. Succession planning enables organizations to proactively identify and prepare future leaders, thereby minimizing disruptions resulting from leadership changes. Leadership development is focused on systematically equipping high-potential employees with the essential skills, competencies, and experiences needed to step into leadership roles. Additionally, organizational memory—composed of institutional knowledge, historical insights, and experiential learning—plays a crucial role in safeguarding strategic knowledge and leadership expertise during transitions. The integration of these three components creates a robust leadership pipeline, reduces the risks associated with leadership voids, and fortifies the retention of institutional knowledge. This paper provides a critical analysis of existing literature concerning executive transitions, talent management, and knowledge retention, aiming to establish interlinks among these variables. The study emphasizes how organizations can utilize structured mentorship programs, knowledge transfer strategies, and leadership development initiatives to facilitate seamless leadership transitions. Furthermore, it addresses the obstacles to effective leadership continuity, such as short-term mindsets in succession planning, the failure to institutionalize leadership knowledge, and resistance to leadership changes. This conceptual paper adds value to both academic research and managerial practices by synthesizing insights from human resource management, strategic leadership, and organizational behavior. The findings highlight the necessity for organizations to embrace a comprehensive approach to leadership continuity, integrating succession planning, leadership development, and organizational memory into a unified strategy. The paper concludes with recommendations for policymakers, HR professionals, and corporate leaders on promoting sustainable leadership transitions in dynamic and competitive environments.

Keywords: *Knowledge retention, Leadership continuity, Leadership development, Organizational memory, Succession planning, Talent management.*

1. Introduction

According to Ugoani [1] leadership continuity is essential for maintaining organizational stability, executing long-term strategies, and securing a sustainable competitive advantage. Allam, et al. [2] added that organizations that do not adequately plan for leadership transitions risk encountering disruptions, losing valuable institutional knowledge, and experiencing diminished operational effectiveness. To address these challenges, companies should establish a cohesive framework that integrates succession planning, leadership development, and organizational memory, ensuring the smooth transfer of leadership capabilities. However, many organizations face difficulties in effectively

connecting these components, leading to leadership gaps, impaired strategic vision, and inefficiencies in decision-making.

Gabriel, et al. [3] divulged that succession planning is focused on identifying and developing high-potential employees to assume key leadership roles, thus ensuring continuity even during unforeseen transitions. Nevertheless, without a robust leadership development pipeline, succession planning may fall short, as organizations might not have sufficiently trained and prepared leaders ready to step into critical positions [4]. Additionally, Deal, et al. [5] highlighted that organizational memory is vital during leadership transitions, as it preserves historical knowledge, strategic insights, and cultural values. Organizations that lack systems for transferring institutional knowledge often suffer setbacks in performance and decision-making when leadership changes occur [6].

According to Gichuhi [7] the interaction among these three factors is crucial for fostering leadership resilience, retaining knowledge, and ensuring long-term organizational success. This conceptual paper investigates how succession planning, leadership development, and organizational memory work together to establish a sustainable leadership continuity framework. It addresses the challenges organizations encounter in integrating these elements and presents insights into best practices for effective leadership transitions. This study seeks to develop a conceptual understanding that enhances both theoretical understanding and practical application in the realm of leadership continuity by synthesizing literature from human resource management, strategic leadership, and organizational behaviour. The findings aim to contribute to discussions on talent management, executive transitions, and knowledge retention, providing strategic recommendations for organizations striving to develop resilient and future-ready leadership structures.

2. Theoretical Perspectives

According to Siambi [8] leadership continuity is essential for achieving long-term organizational success, maintaining strategic stability, and preserving institutional knowledge. Organizations that do not incorporate succession planning [9] leadership development [10] and organizational memory [11] into their talent management strategies may encounter leadership gaps, loss of corporate knowledge, and diminished competitive advantage. An analytical framework offers a systematic understanding of how these elements interact and support leadership continuity. This paper examines the topic through the perspectives of Human Capital Theory, Knowledge-Based Theory of the Firm, and Social Learning Theory, emphasizing their significance for sustainable leadership.

2.1. Human Capital Theory and Leadership Development

Human Capital Theory (HCT) asserts that both individuals and organizations derive benefits from investments in education, training, and skills enhancement [12]. According to Marlapudi and Lenka [13] this theory is particularly relevant to leadership development, as organizations that emphasize structured training and mentorship programs nurture a pipeline of capable leaders. From the viewpoint of HCT, leadership development extends beyond merely filling executive positions; it focuses on enhancing competencies [14] cultivating adaptive leadership skills [15] and building long-term strategic capabilities [16]. According to Ahsan [17] organizations that implement robust leadership development programs recognize their employees as valuable assets whose knowledge and skills can be refined over time. Key strategies that align with this theoretical framework include formal education [18] executive coaching [19] leadership rotations [20] and mentorship initiatives [21].

Additionally, Siambi [8] demonstrated that effective succession planning depends on investments in human capital to ensure that upcoming leaders are equipped with the skills and organizational insight required to transition into senior roles. Furthermore, Maduforo, et al. [22] added that organizations prioritizing ongoing education and leadership training are better positioned to facilitate seamless leadership transitions.

2.2. Knowledge-Based Theory of the Firm and Organizational Memory

The Knowledge-Based Theory of the Firm highlights that an organization's primary source of competitive advantage lies in its capacity to generate, store, and apply knowledge effectively [23]. According to Hughes and Hodgkinson [24] this theory is directly related to the role of organizational memory in establishing leadership continuity. Moreover, Sen, et al. [25] pointed that organizational memory encompasses both explicit knowledge (such as documented policies, strategic plans, and best practices) and tacit knowledge (including executive experience, decision-making intuition, and corporate culture). According to Seydi [26] when leaders leave their positions, the ability to transfer institutional knowledge to incoming leaders is vital for sustaining strategic alignment. However, without established mechanisms to capture and convey knowledge, organizations risk losing valuable expertise, leading to operational inefficiencies and strategic misalignment [27]. Succession planning that integrates knowledge retention strategies—such as leadership shadowing [28] knowledge repositories [29] and formal transition processes [30]—ensures that leadership transitions do not result in knowledge depletion. This approach aligns with the Knowledge-Based Theory, which posits that organizations that efficiently manage their knowledge resources outperform their competitors in innovation, strategic decision-making, and adaptability [31].

2.3. Social Learning Theory and Succession Planning

Social Learning Theory (SLT) proposes that individuals acquire behaviours, skills, and leadership qualities through observation, imitation, and social interaction Boumpouri and Galanakis [32]. Ismail [33] added that this theory is crucial for understanding how succession planning and leadership development can be enhanced through mentorship and role modelling. In many organizations, emerging leaders develop not only through formal training but also by observing current executives [34] participating in decision-making processes [35] and engaging in experiential learning opportunities Allen, et al. [36]. Osumo and Letigio [37] expressed that when organizations establish structured mentorship and coaching programs, they foster an environment where prospective leaders can emulate the behaviours, strategies, and decision-making styles of seasoned executives. According to von Schönfeld, et al. [38] succession planning informed by Social Learning Theory emphasizes exposing high-potential employees to real-world leadership experiences, executive mentorship, and leadership simulations. Damer [39] echoed that this strategy ensures that the next generation of leaders is equipped not only with technical skills but also with alignment to the organization's values, strategic vision, and leadership expectations.

3. Literature Review

Leadership continuity is essential for the long-term success of organizations, as it fosters stability, sustained performance, and strategic growth [40]. The integration of succession planning, leadership development, and organizational memory is critical in preparing organizations for the inevitable transitions in leadership. Research indicates that disruptions in leadership can result in strategic misalignment, loss of institutional knowledge, and operational inefficiencies [41]. This literature review examines the fundamental components of leadership continuity, drawing insights from existing scholarship on succession planning, leadership development, and organizational memory, and their interconnections in facilitating smooth leadership transitions.

3.1. Succession Planning

According to Bano, et al. [42] succession planning is a systematic approach to identifying and developing future leaders to ensure seamless transitions in leadership roles. Effective succession planning enhances leadership continuity, mitigates transition risks, and supports long-term strategic objectives [43]. Succession planning minimizes disruptions caused by unexpected changes in leadership, thereby ensuring organizational stability and performance Bano, et al. [42]. Chang [44] added that

organizations with proactive succession strategies are better equipped to manage leadership transitions effectively, which helps prevent operational delays and cultural misalignment.

Hatcher [29] indicated that successful succession planning includes identifying leadership talent, implementing mentorship programs, facilitating knowledge transfer, and establishing performance evaluation metrics. Furthermore, Ingale [45] added that organizations that integrate leadership development into their succession plans strengthen their capacity to cultivate high-potential employees and prepare them for leadership responsibilities. Despite its significance, many organizations face difficulties in leadership succession due to the lack of structured processes, resistance to change, and insufficient leadership pipelines Morrow [4]. Moreso, Sanou [46] argued that resistance from incumbent leaders can obstruct transitions, while inadequate focus on leadership readiness may lead to the emergence of unprepared successors.

3.2. Leadership Development

Leadership development is crucial in preparing employees for future leadership roles and ensuring a robust leadership pipeline [47]. According to Rodríguez, et al. [48] leadership development comprises executive training, mentorship programs, leadership assessments, and experiential learning opportunities. Ahsan [17] expressed that organizations that prioritize leadership development foster adaptable and innovative leaders equipped to tackle complex business challenges. According to Parfitt [49] a well-structured leadership pipeline guarantees that high-potential employees advance through diverse developmental experiences, acquiring the skills necessary for executive leadership. Day and Dannhäuser [50] added that rotational assignments, cross-functional training, and stretch assignments are widely acknowledged as effective leadership development practices. Research demonstrates that organizations with robust leadership development programs outperform their competitors, exhibit higher levels of employee engagement, and maintain better leadership retention rates [51]. According to Oppong and Oduro-Asabere [52] a well-designed leadership development program ensures a steady pool of talent for critical leadership positions.

3.3. Organizational Memory

Organizational memory refers to the collective knowledge, processes, and experiences retained within an organization, playing a vital role in leadership transitions [53]. According to Balogun [21] organizational memory safeguards essential insights, strategic knowledge, historical decision-making frameworks, and best practices, ensuring that leadership transitions do not disrupt long-term objectives. Without effective knowledge management systems, organizations risk losing critical institutional knowledge, leading to strategic misalignment Ashok, et al. [54]. Iyiola [55] expressed those structured mechanisms such as mentorship, documentation of best practices, knowledge management systems, and leadership debriefings facilitate knowledge retention and transfer. Hatcher [29] leadership transitions are more successful when outgoing leaders engage in knowledge-sharing sessions with their successors. According to Manu, et al. [56] organizations face challenges in maintaining organizational memory due to leadership turnover, knowledge silos, and inadequate documentation practices. Organizations that fail to capture tacit knowledge from experienced leaders often face continuity issues, resulting in inefficiencies in decision-making [57].

3.4. Integration of Succession Planning, Leadership Development, and Organizational Memory

The seamless integration of succession planning, leadership development, and organizational memory enhances leadership continuity by facilitating smooth transitions, preserving institutional knowledge, and promoting leadership preparedness [21]. According to Ingale [45] establishing a strategic alignment between succession planning and leadership development is essential for nurturing leadership pipelines through focused training, mentorship, and practical leadership experiences. Venkat, et al. [58] added that organizations that implement integrated leadership development programs tend to experience higher retention rates among high-potential employees. The effectiveness of succession

planning is enhanced when institutional knowledge is systematically transferred from departing leaders to emerging executives Gabriel, et al. [3]. Balogun [21] argued that organizations that incorporate knowledge-sharing mechanisms into their succession strategies are better positioned to maintain stability and minimize disruptions during leadership transitions. Leadership development programs that prioritize knowledge-sharing, experiential learning, and executive mentoring play a significant role in preserving organizational memory [59]. Leaders equipped with insights from historical decision-making and corporate knowledge are more effective in navigating challenges and sustaining strategic consistency [60].

4. Methods

This study utilized an integrative literature review to evaluate and analyze data regarding succession planning, leadership development, and organizational memory. The integrative review method, as described by Wang, et al. [35] allows for a comprehensive assessment of the existing evidence, thereby enhancing the rigor of the evaluation of diverse primary studies employing various methodologies. The study's search criteria focused on peer-reviewed research pertaining to succession planning, leadership development, and organizational memory. Data collection was conducted through search engines including Scopus, Emerald, Wiley Online, and ABI/Inform to identify relevant studies. Furthermore, we utilized various free online resources, including Science Direct, SABINET, Bing, and Google Scholar, to gather valuable information for this study. Both primary and secondary data sources, including journal articles, reports, biographies, and additional materials, were incorporated, utilizing search terms related to succession planning, leadership development, and organizational memory, along with recommendations for best practices.

5. Discussion

The concept of leadership continuity is paramount for organizations striving to uphold stability, growth, and long-term success [61]. This conceptual paper integrates succession planning, leadership development, and organizational memory as essential components for ensuring effective leadership continuity. The discussion section examines the implications of these elements and how their alignment can foster a robust and sustainable leadership structure within organizations.

5.1. *The Role of Succession Planning in Leadership Continuity*

The study by Jackson and Dunn-Jensen [9] expressed that succession planning is widely acknowledged as a vital process for identifying and preparing future leaders for top leadership positions. This integrative literature underscored the significance of the early identification of high-potential candidates, allowing organizations to mitigate leadership gaps caused by unforeseen departures, retirements, or external factors. It also emerged in Mukhama [43] study that proactive succession planning strategy ensures that organizations are well-prepared with a capable talent pool ready to step into leadership roles as needed. Furthermore, Siambi [8] argues that this process serves as a mechanism for strategic alignment between organizational goals and leadership capabilities. The study by Stanonik [62] found that companies can ensure that their leaders are not only technically competent but also embody the cultural ethos that underpins the organization by synchronizing succession planning with the organization's vision, values, and objectives. This alignment promotes continuity in leadership practices, thereby minimizing disruption and enhancing organizational resilience.

5.2. *Leadership Development as a Catalyst for Continuity*

Research by Ramola and Rangnekar [63] found that leadership development is intrinsically linked to the successful implementation of succession planning. While succession planning identifies potential leaders, leadership development ensures those individuals are prepared for their forthcoming responsibilities [30]. This preparation encompasses not only the acquisition of technical skills but also the cultivation of soft skills such as emotional intelligence, communication, and decision-making. A

study by Adeniyi, et al. [64] established that businesses can create a leadership pipeline that is equipped to address both present and future challenges by embedding leadership development within the organization's core practices. Moreover, leadership development should be regarded as an ongoing process rather than a one-time initiative [65]. Research by Hazel, et al. [66] found that continuous investment in leadership training and mentoring guarantees that leaders remain adaptable and capable of navigating the ever-changing business landscape. As indicated in the integrative literature, effective leadership development must be integrated into daily organizational practices, empowering leaders to learn from real-world experiences and develop adaptive leadership styles that resonate with their teams [67].

5.3. Organizational Memory and Its Impact on Leadership Continuity

Research by Cegarra-Navarro and Martelo-Landroguez [11] found that organizational memory plays a crucial role in preserving the knowledge, practices, and experiences that inform leadership decisions and organizational strategy. The integrative literature review highlights the necessity for organizations to capture and retain essential insights, strategies, and lessons from past leaders to ensure continuity during leadership transitions. Research indicates that organizational memory acts as a safeguard against the loss of critical institutional knowledge that may otherwise disrupt decision-making processes [56]. The study by Foroughi, et al. [68] expressed that a key challenge in maintaining organizational memory is ensuring that knowledge is not confined to individual leaders, but rather shared and institutionalized throughout the organization. This necessitates the establishment of mechanisms for knowledge transfer, such as mentoring programs, knowledge-sharing platforms, and documentation systems that capture valuable insights. [1] argued that businesses can ensure that leadership continuity is grounded in collective organizational wisdom rather than individual personalities by embedding such practices within the organization.

5.4. Integrating Succession Planning, Leadership Development, and Organizational Memory

Integrating succession planning, leadership development, and organizational memory constitutes a compelling strategy for ensuring leadership continuity. These elements interact synergistically, creating a cohesive system that enhances long-term leadership effectiveness. Research by Hunter [69] established that succession planning lays the groundwork by identifying potential future leaders, while leadership development equips these individuals to tackle complex organizational challenges. Simultaneously, organizational memory preserves the knowledge and experiences that inform leadership decision-making, providing a historical context to guide future leaders [70]. This integrated approach promotes a culture of leadership within the organization, where leadership is regarded as a collective responsibility rather than a role reserved for a select few. Ahsan [17] highlighted that by fostering an environment that prioritizes leadership development at all levels, organizations empower employees to assume leadership roles as necessary, thereby reducing the risk of leadership gaps and enhancing overall organizational performance.

5.5. Practical Implications and Future Research Directions

This integrative literature review offers valuable insights for organizations aiming to enhance their leadership continuity strategies. Future research could investigate the practical application of this framework across various organizational contexts, such as small businesses, multinational corporations, or non-profit organizations, to understand how context-specific factors influence the implementation and effectiveness of these strategies. Additionally, research could examine the impact of technological advancements, such as artificial intelligence and data analytics, on succession planning and leadership development. As organizations increasingly depend on data-driven decision-making, understanding how technology can enhance or disrupt traditional leadership processes will be critical for refining the framework.

6. Conclusion

The integration of succession planning, leadership development, and organizational memory represents a holistic approach to ensuring leadership continuity. By emphasizing proactive planning, continuous development, and the preservation of organizational knowledge, this conceptual framework offers a comprehensive strategy for organizations seeking stable and effective leadership. As organizations navigate an increasingly complex and dynamic environment, embracing such a framework can provide the foundation for sustained success and resilience in leadership.

Transparency:

The author confirms that the manuscript is an honest, accurate, and transparent account of the study; that no vital features of the study have been omitted; and that any discrepancies from the study as planned have been explained. This study followed all ethical practices during writing.

Copyright:

© 2025 by the author. This open-access article is distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

References

- [1] J. Ugoani, "Management succession planning and its effect on organizational sustainability," *International Journal of Economics and Business Administration*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 30-41, 2020.
- [2] A. R. Allam, K. A. Farhan, H. P. Kommineni, C. Deming, and N. R. Boinapalli, "Effective change management strategies: Lessons learned from successful organizational transformations," *American Journal of Trade and Policy*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 17-30, 2024.
- [3] P. I. Gabriel, C. S. Biriowu, and E. L.-J. Dagogo, "Examining succession and replacement planning in work organizations," *European Journal of Business and Management Research*, vol. 5, no. 2, 2020.
- [4] M. E. Morrow, "Succession planning: Addressing the lack of internal executive leadership preparation within higher education institutions," Doctoral Dissertation, Grand Canyon University, 2023.
- [5] N. M. Deal, M. M. Novicevic, A. J. Mills, C. W. Lugar, and F. Roberts, "Taking an eventful historic turn down the cultural memory lane," *Journal of Management History*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 61-79, 2021.
- [6] M. C. Annosi, L. Marchegiani, and F. Vicentini, "Knowledge translation in project portfolio decision-making: the role of organizational alignment and information support system in selecting innovative ideas," *Management decision*, vol. 58, no. 9, pp. 1929-1951, 2020.
- [7] J. M. Gichuhi, "Shared leadership and organizational resilience: a systematic literature review," *International Journal of Organizational Leadership*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 67-88, 2021.
- [8] J. K. Siambi, "Leadership succession planning and organization transition: A review of literature," *International Journal of Managerial Studies and Research*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 16-30, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.20431/2349-0349.1003003>
- [9] N. C. Jackson and L. M. Dunn-Jensen, "Leadership succession planning for today's digital transformation economy: Key factors to build for competency and innovation," *Business Horizons*, vol. 64, no. 2, pp. 273-284, 2021.
- [10] C. D. McCauley and C. J. Palus, "Developing the theory and practice of leadership development: A relational view," *The Leadership Quarterly*, vol. 32, no. 5, p. 101456, 2021.
- [11] J.-G. Cegarra-Navarro and S. Martelo-Landroguez, "The effect of organizational memory on organizational agility: Testing the role of counter-knowledge and knowledge application," *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 459-479, 2020.
- [12] H. Buyruk, "An exploration of human capital theory and its effects on the world of education," Routledge, 2020, pp. 64-76.
- [13] K. Marlapudi and U. Lenka, "Unlocking the potential: redefining talent and competency mapping for Industry 4.0," *Management Research Review*, vol. 47, no. 11, pp. 1805-1832, 2024.
- [14] A. Abdou, "Organizational managers strategies increasing human capital efficiency an exploratory case study," Doctoral Dissertation, Northcentral University, 2023.
- [15] F. Omweri, "The human edge: Exploring cognitive capabilities in public administration beyond ai's reach-a systematic literature review," 2024.
- [16] D. E. Akpieyi, "Strategies for human capital development in an oil and gas organization," Doctoral dissertation, Walden University, 2024.
- [17] M. J. Ahsan, "Cultivating a culture of learning: the role of leadership in fostering lifelong development," *The learning organization*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 282-306, 2025.

- [18] S. S. Pomi, S. M. Sarkar, and B. K. Dhar, "Human or physical capital, which influences sustainable economic growth most? A study on Bangladesh," *Canadian Journal of Business and Information Studies*, vol. 3, no. 5, pp. 101-108, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1086/659338>
- [19] C. M. Harris and L. W. Brown, "Does human capital pay? The influence of leader human capital and employee human capital on leader bonus earnings," *Journal of Organizational Effectiveness: People and Performance*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 1-15, 2021.
- [20] W. Guo and M. Chen, "Construction of structural dimensions of organizational human capital competitive advantage," *Journal of Intellectual capital*, vol. 23, no. 5, pp. 1081-1106, 2022.
- [21] O. T. Balogun, "Importance of Succession Planning in Knowledge Transfer and Continuity: A case study of Hobart international limited, Nigeria," 2024.
- [22] A. N. Maduforo, S. Scott, and D. Scott, "Leadership development for contemporary post-secondary academic leaders: Challenges, content and approach," *SAGE Open*, vol. 14, no. 2, p. 21582440241253647, 2024.
- [23] R. Grant and A. Phene, "The knowledge based view and global strategy: Past impact and future potential," *Global Strategy Journal*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 3-30, 2022.
- [24] P. Hughes and I. Hodgkinson, "Knowledge management activities and strategic planning capability development," *European business review*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 238-254, 2021.
- [25] C. Sen, K. Arun, and O. Okun, "Organizational memory: A qualitative research study on a multi-cultural organization," *Kybernetes*, vol. 52, no. 4, pp. 1528-1551, 2023.
- [26] A. Seydi, "Strategies to improve knowledge management initiatives in oil and gas companies ", Doctoral Dissertation, Walden University, 2020.
- [27] J. Isralidis, E. Siachou, and S. Kelly, "Why organizations fail to share knowledge: an empirical investigation and opportunities for improvement," *Information Technology & People*, vol. 34, no. 5, pp. 1513-1539, 2021.
- [28] C. M. Martin and K. O'Shea, "Succession planning for organizational stability," *Nursing management*, vol. 52, no. 4, pp. 12-20, 2021.
- [29] S. D. Hatcher, "Building a solid foundation: Succession planning practices improving knowledge management and employee retention within higher education institutions (HEIS)," Doctoral dissertation, University of Maryland University College, 2023.
- [30] C. Atwood, *Succession planning basics*. Association for Talent Development, 2020.
- [31] A. Satar, M. A. Musadieg, B. Hutahayan, and S. Solimun, "Creating a sustainable competitive advantage: the roles of technological innovation, knowledge management, and organizational agility," *Global Business and Organizational Excellence*, vol. 44, no. 3, pp. 11-23, 2025. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joe.22280>
- [32] M. Boumpouri and M. Galanakis, "Efficiency of managers as role models: a social learning theory perspective," *Psychology*, vol. 12, no. 12, pp. 933-938, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.17265/2159-5542/2022.12.003>
- [33] A. Ismail, "Antecedents of leadership efficacy development among novice school principals in Malaysia," *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*, p. 17411432241235793, 2024.
- [34] D. Kragt and D. V. Day, "Predicting leadership competency development and promotion among high-potential executives: The role of leader identity," *Frontiers in psychology*, vol. 11, p. 1816, 2020.
- [35] Q. Wang, H. Hou, and Z. Li, "Participative leadership: A literature review and prospects for future research," *Frontiers in psychology*, vol. 13, p. 924357, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.924357>
- [36] S. J. Allen, D. M. Rosch, and R. E. Riggio, "Advancing leadership education and development: Integrating adult learning theory," *Journal of Management Education*, vol. 46, no. 2, pp. 252-283, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10525629211008645>
- [37] L. G. Osumo and G. M. Letigio, "Leaders helping leaders: Strategic leadership and mentoring experiences of young leaders in North Cotabato," *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies in Higher Education*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 11-23, 2020.
- [38] K. C. von Schönfeld, W. Tan, C. Wiekens, and L. Janssen-Jansen, "Unpacking social learning in planning: who learns what from whom?," *Urban research & practice*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 411-433, 2020.
- [39] L. Damer, "Successful talent management strategies business leaders use to improve succession planning ", Doctoral Dissertation, Walden University, 2020.
- [40] S. Q. Hashimy, N. B. Nyamwero, and P. Basavarajappa HT, "Nurturing leadership and capacity building for success: Empowering growth," *International Journal of Rehabilitation and Special Education*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 1-14, 2023.
- [41] O. E. Amah and M. Ogah, "Operational leadership: Amidst global disruption. in leadership and organisational effectiveness post-covid-19: Exploring the new normal." Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2023, pp. 65-83.
- [42] Y. Bano, S. S. Omar, and F. Ismail, "Revitalising organisations' emergency succession planning in the face of the Covid-19 outbreak," *The European Journal of Social & Behavioural Sciences*, 2021.
- [43] S. Mukhama, "Succession planning strategies to ensure leadership continuity in nonprofit organizations ", Doctoral Dissertation, Walden University, 2023.
- [44] Y. C. Chang, "Best practices for executive leadership succession planning in post-cultural revolution China first-generational family enterprises," Doctoral Dissertation, Pepperdine University, 2020.
- [45] A. Ingale, "Succession Planning And Leadership Development Are Important In Creating A Talent Pipeline Within Human Resource Management," *Available at SSRN 5031223*, 2024.

- [46] B. Sanou, "Leadership Development and Succession: A Review of Best Practices with Insights for Mission Leadership," *Journal of Applied Christian Leadership*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 28-53, 2021.
- [47] D. G. Fuentes, "Rethinking approaches to succession planning and developing a leadership pipeline in academic pharmacy," *American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education*, vol. 84, no. 12, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.5688/ajpe8335>
- [48] D. C. Rodríguez *et al.*, "Experiential learning and mentorship in global health leadership programs: capturing lessons from across the globe," *Annals of global health*, vol. 87, no. 1, p. 61, 2021.
- [49] C. M. Parfitt, *Succession planning: A framework and guidelines for school leaders*. Rowman & Littlefield, 2022.
- [50] D. V. Day and L. Dannhäuser, "Reconsidering leadership development: From programs to developmental systems," *Behavioral Sciences*, vol. 14, no. 7, p. 548, 2024.
- [51] M. Obeng-Tuaah, "Employees training and development to enhance organisational performance: A systematic review: Employees training and development to enhance organisational performance," *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies and Innovative Research*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 1-15, 2025.
- [52] N. Y. Oppong and N. Oduro-Asabere, "Leadership talent development in higher educational institutions: Evidence from the University of Cape Coast, Ghana," *International Journal of Educational Development*, vol. 113, p. 103196, 2025. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedudev.2024.103196>
- [53] H. Foroughi and I. Al-Amoudi, "Collective forgetting in a changing organization: When memories become unusable and uprooted," *Organization Studies*, vol. 41, no. 4, pp. 449-470, 2020.
- [54] M. Ashok, M. S. M. Al Badi Al Dhaheri, R. Madan, and M. D. Dzandu, "How to counter organisational inertia to enable knowledge management practices adoption in public sector organisations," *Journal of Knowledge Management*, vol. 25, no. 9, pp. 2245-2273, 2021.
- [55] F. A. Iyiola, "Strategies to enhance employee knowledge retention in public and private universities," Doctoral Dissertation, Walden University, 2024.
- [56] H. N. Manu, E. A. Onyame, E. A. Mensah, S. Annim, and S. Abdul-Gafaar, "Knowledge modeling and institutional memory at the university of cape coast: examining technology as a mediator and leadership styles as a moderator in enhancing administrative efficiency," *Intelligent Information Management*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 1-30, 2025. <https://doi.org/10.4236/iim.2025.171001>
- [57] M. Supanitchaisiri, O. Natakatoong, and S. Sinthupinyo, "The innovative model for extracting tacit knowledge in organisations," *International Journal of Knowledge Management Studies*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 81-101, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1504/ijkms.2020.105074>
- [58] M. V. V. Venkat, S. R. K. Khan, M. Gorkhe, M. Reddy, and S. Rao, "Fostering talent stability: a study on evaluating the influence of competency management on employee retention in the automotive industry," *Remittances Review*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 2300-2328, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.52783/jier.v4i3.1447>
- [59] M. Zamiri and A. Esmaeili, "Methods and technologies for supporting knowledge sharing within learning communities: A systematic literature review," *Administrative Sciences*, vol. 14, no. 1, p. 17, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci14010017>
- [60] D. Jerab and T. Mabrouk, "The role of leadership in changing organizational culture," *Available at SSRN 4574324*, 2023.
- [61] C. Cloutier and D. Ravasi, "Identity trajectories: Explaining long-term patterns of continuity and change in organizational identities," *Academy of Management Journal*, vol. 63, no. 4, pp. 1196-1235, 2020.
- [62] G. Stanonik, "Leadership development strategy for successors in family businesses," Doctoral Dissertation, Technische Universität Wien, 2024.
- [63] S. Ramola and S. Rangnekar, "Relationship understanding between performance appraisal, succession planning, and career development," *International Journal of Engineering Technologies and Management Research*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 1-8, 2021.
- [64] I. S. Adeniyi *et al.*, "Organizational culture and leadership development: A human resources review of trends and best practices," *Magna Scientia Advanced Research and Reviews*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 243-255, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.30574/msarr.2024.10.1.0025>
- [65] P. Joseph-Richard, G. Edwards, and S.-A. Hazlett, "Leadership development outcomes research and the need for a time-sensitive approach," *Human Resource Development International*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 173-199, 2021.
- [66] M. Hazel, H. Ngirande, and R. M. Muchowe, "Re-engineering the role of leadership in nurturing and navigating talent management in Academia," IGI Global Scientific Publishing, 2025, pp. 269-290.
- [67] P. Kohn, "Experiential learning: Immersive approaches to leadership development," Emerald Publishing Limited, 2024, pp. 15-26.
- [68] H. Foroughi, D. M. Coraiola, J. Rintamäki, S. Mena, and W. M. Foster, "Organizational memory studies," *Organization Studies*, vol. 41, no. 12, pp. 1725-1748, 2020.
- [69] M. Hunter, "Leadership development and succession planning for frontline managers: a participatory research study," Doctoral dissertation, Ashford University, 2023.
- [70] B. Do and M. C. Lyle, "Memory-based change management: Using the past to guide the future," *Organizational Psychology Review*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 306-331, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1177/20413866221093512>